# REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE HARRISON COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2003



### CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE (502) 573-0050 FACSIMILE (502) 573-0067

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE HARRISON COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2003

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the Harrison County Sheriff's audit for the year ended December 31, 2003. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1.

#### **Financial Condition:**

Excess fees decreased by \$1,889 from the prior year, resulting in excess fees of \$26,501 as of December 31, 2003. Revenues increased by \$58,569 from the prior year and expenditures increased by \$60,458.

#### **Report Comment:**

• Lacks Adequate Segregation of Duties

#### **Deposits:**

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	.1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	6
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	.11
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	15



The Honorable Dean Peak, Harrison County Judge/Executive The Honorable Bruce Hampton, Harrison County Sheriff Members of the Harrison County Fiscal Court

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees regulatory basis of the County Sheriff of Harrison County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2003. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a regulatory basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees of the County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2003, in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated September 29, 2004, on our consideration of the County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.





The Honorable Dean Peak, County Judge/Executive The Bruce Hampton, Harrison County Sheriff Members of the Harrison County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation of Duties

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County Sheriff and Fiscal Court of Harrison County, Kentucky, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these interested parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed -September 29, 2004

## HARRISON COUNTY BRUCE HAMPTON, COUNTY SHERIFF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

#### For The Year Ended December 31, 2003

#### Revenues

Federal Grants		\$ 10,000
State - Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund		26,828
State Fees For Services: Fee Claims Court Security Personnel Sheriff Security Service HB 542	\$ 12,386 36,014 11,613	60,013
Circuit Court Clerk: Fines and Fees Collected		8,384
Fiscal Court		128,300
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		884
Commission On Taxes Collected		170,460
Fees Collected For Services: Auto Inspections Accident and Police Reports Serving Papers Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	\$ 3,560 187 22,890 3,100	29,737
Other: Fines/Fees From Other Counties Sheriff's Add On Fees Sheriff Delinquent Tax Fees Miscellaneous		325 19,370 2,136 721
Interest Earned		2,200
Borrowed Money: State Advancement		 80,000
Total Revenues		\$ 539,358

#### HARRISON COUNTY

#### BRUCE HAMPTON, COUNTY SHERIFF

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31, 2003 (Continued)

#### **Expenditures**

Operating Expenditures and Capital Outlay:

Personnel Services-	
Deputy's Gross Salaries	\$ 194,708
Part Time Gross Salaries	7,177
Overtime Gross Salaries	5,628
Court Security Salaries	41,572
KLEFPF Pay	22,876
Employee Benefits-	
Employer's Share Retirement:KLEEPF	3,952
Court Security Social Security	3,180
Employer Paid Health Insurance	50,663
Contracted Services-	
Vehicle Maintenance and Repairs	4,145
Computer Service	3,520
Materials and Supplies-	
Office Materials and Supplies	11,649
Uniforms	5,062
Other Charges-	
Conventions and Travel	1,685
Dues	586
Postage	3,300
Bond	406
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	2,020
Training	777
Miscellaneous	468

#### HARRISON COUNTY

#### BRUCE HAMPTON, COUNTY SHERIFF

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31,2003

(Continued)

#### Expenditures (Continued)

Operating Expenditures and Capital Outlay: (Continued)

Fiscal Court- Serving Papers	\$ 5,730	
Debt Service:		
State Advancement	 80,000	
Total Expenditures		\$ 449,104
Net Receipts		\$ 90,254
Less: Statutory Maximum		 63,753
Excess Fees		\$ 26,501
Payments to Fiscal Court - January 13, 2004		 26,501
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit		\$ 0

#### HARRISON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2003

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of revenues over expenditures to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

Kentucky Revised Statute (KRS) 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the County Sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.310 requires the County Sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court at the time he files his final settlement with the fiscal court.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this regulatory basis of accounting revenues and expenditures are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) at December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2003 services
- Reimbursements for 2003 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2003

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

HARRISON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2003 (Continued)

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems.

This is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 6.34 percent for the first six months of the year and 7.34 percent for the last six months of the year. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 8.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 16.28 percent for the first six months of the year and 18.51 percent for the last six months of the year.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement System, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, KY 40601-6124, or by telephone at 502-564-4646.

#### Note 3. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of December 31, 2003, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.





#### HARRISON COUNTY BRUCE HAMPTON, COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Year Ended December 31, 2003

#### INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITION AND MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

#### Lacks Adequate Segregation of Duties

The Sheriff's Office has a lack of adequate segregation of duties. Due to the entity's diversity of official operations, small size and budget restrictions, the official has limited options for establishing an adequate segregation of duties. We are recommending that the following compensating controls be implemented to offset this internal control weakness:

- The Sheriff should periodically compare a daily bank deposit to the daily checkout sheet and then compare the daily checkout sheet to the receipts ledger. Any differences should be reconciled.
- The Sheriff should compare the quarterly financial report to the receipts and disbursements ledgers for accuracy.
- The Sheriff should periodically compare invoices to payments.
- The Sheriff should periodically compare the bank reconciliation to the balance in the checkbook. Any differences should be reconciled.

Sheriff Bruce Hampton's Response:

No response noted

#### PRIOR YEAR:

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

This comment is repeated in the current year.



# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



The Honorable Dean Peak, Harrison County Judge/Executive The Honorable Bruce Hampton, Harrison County Sheriff Members of the Harrison County Fiscal Court

> Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees - regulatory basis of the Harrison County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated September 29, 2004. This was a special report on the County Sheriff's financial statement prepared in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### **Compliance**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Harrison County Sheriff's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2003, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Harrison County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. A reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

• Lacks Adequate Segregation of Duties



Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable condition described above is a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

**Auditor of Public Accounts** 

Audit fieldwork completed - September 29, 2004